

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 445 926

SE 064 146

AUTHOR Lukens, Jeffrey D.; Feinstein, Sheryl  
TITLE Raise Test Scores: Integrate Biology and Calculus.  
PUB DATE 2000-00-00  
NOTE 6p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Academic Achievement; Advanced Placement Programs;  
\*Biology; \*Calculus; High Schools; \*Integrated Curriculum;  
Mathematics Education; Professional Development; Science  
Education

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the results of research that compared the academic achievement of high school students enrolled in an integrated Advanced Placement Biology/Advanced Placement Calculus course with students enrolled in traditional Advanced Placement Biology and Advanced Placement Calculus courses. Study subjects included high school students (n=1780) from a Midwestern suburban high school. (YDS)

*S. Feinstein*

**Raise Test Scores: Integrate Biology and Calculus**  
by  
**Jeffrey D. Lukens, MA & Sheryl Feinstein, Ed.D.**

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

The purpose of this research was to compare the academic achievement of high school students enrolled in an integrated Advanced Placement Biology/Advanced Placement Calculus course with similar students enrolled in traditional Advanced Placement Biology and Advanced Placement Calculus courses.

There is no lack of articles about the advantages of an integrated curriculum. Conferences are held, professional development workshops are both offered and required, and opportunities for training are more abundant than ever. In spite of all of this, the real desire for integration of mathematics and science seems to remain superficial; mathematics and science continue to be taught separately in most middle and high schools. "Throughout the literature, there is a general sense that integration is a 'good thing.' However, very little has been reported that explicitly describes what it means to integrate mathematics and science, and even less research has been done to explore its benefits and detriments" (Berlin and White, 1995). Hopefully, the results of this study provide the motivation for some science teachers to overcome the reluctance to integrate mathematics into their curricula.

### Theoretical Perspective

1. The National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) stated that, "School science and mathematics programs should be coordinated so that students learn the necessary mathematical skills and concepts before and during their use in the science program." (NCTM, 1998)
2. The National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP, 1996) recommended that the high school integrate its curriculum to the extent possible and emphasize depth over breadth

of coverage. The NASSP goes on to say that teaching subjects in isolation from each other, as high schools are wont to do, distorts knowledge.

3. The American Association for the Advancement of Science (1993) stated that by recommending increased emphasis on connections between science and other disciplines, educators can encourage students not only to know scientific facts, but also to view the world scientifically.

### The Population

The population used in this study included students at a Midwestern suburban high school with an enrollment of 1780 students in grades 9 - 12. A course entitled “AP Biology/AP Calculus” was designed to be a 2- period course that had the goal of integrating science and mathematics. Students who register for the AP Biology/AP Calculus block course are together for two consecutive periods. The traditional AP Biology course was taught by the same teacher who taught the AP Biology block class.

Grade point averages at the researcher’s high school are calculated on a 4.0 scale and all classes receive the same grade point value for the same letter grade. In other words, there is no weighting of grades for Advanced Placement courses. A statistical comparison of the grade point averages of students enrolled in the AP Biology/AP Calculus block class and the students enrolled in the separate AP Biology and AP Calculus classes were compared. The mean GPA for students enrolled in the block class was 3.78 (n=39), while the mean GPA for students enrolled in the separate classes was 3.68 (n=27). The t-test for independent samples indicated a lack of significant difference between the block class and separate classes. The t-value ( $t=1.53$ ) was insignificant at the  $p<.05$  level.

## Instrumentation

The Advanced Placement exams are scored on a scale of 1 - 5, with 5 being the highest possible score on each exam. The majority of colleges and universities in the United States consider a score of 3 to be passing, and the student will earn college credit for a score of 3 or higher.

## Findings

1. A statistical comparison of the AP Biology Exam scores of students enrolled in the AP Biology/AP Calculus block class and the students enrolled in the separate AP Biology and AP Calculus classes was made. The cumulative mean AP Exam score for students enrolled in the block class during the 1997-99 school years was 3.56 (n=39), while the mean GPA for students enrolled in the separate classes was 2.85 (n=27). This represents a 24.9% higher achievement on the Advanced Placement Biology Exam for the students in the block class compared to those in the separate classes during the entire two-year study period. The t-test for independent samples indicated a significant difference between the block class and the separate classes. The t-value (t=2.82) was significant at the  $p<.05$  level.
2. The percentage of students passing the AP Biology exam who were enrolled in the AP Biology/AP Calculus block class during the 1997-99 school years was 85% (n=39), while the percentage passing among the students in the separate classes was 56% (n=27). A "passing" score on the Advanced Placement Biology Exam is considered to be 3 or higher on a scale of 1-5. Students enrolled in the block class over the two-years of this study earned passing scores at a 29% higher rate than those students in the separate classes.

## Conclusions

Students enrolled in the integrated Advanced Placement Biology/Advanced Placement Calculus block course performed significantly better on the Advanced Placement Biology exam when compared to students enrolled in the traditional Advanced Placement Biology and Advanced Placement Calculus courses. There appears to be a positive correlation between integrating science and mathematics in the high school setting and the understanding and achievement of the students enrolled in the integrated course.

As both the National Science Standards and the National Mathematics Standards advocate, it is imperative that these disciplines become effectively and smoothly integrated at all possible levels. Science provides the experimental design and experimental data, and mathematics provides a means by which these data can be analyzed and evaluated. In reality, each is fragmented without the other. It is irresponsible and shortsighted of educational leaders to continue to think of each subject area as separate and distinct from all others.

Every year the general public cries louder and stronger for higher student achievement as measured by the only criteria most people understand: higher standardized test scores. School districts in general, and teachers in particular, are berated for what is viewed as sub-standard performance by their students. The results of this study are an indication of the power of integrating biology and calculus. It has been said in baseball that, "You can't steal second and keep your foot on first." To paraphrase, unless you are willing to take a risk, progress will not be realized. It is time to take a risk and integrate science and mathematics.

## References

American Association for the Advancement of Science. (1993). Benchmarks for science literacy. New York. Oxford Press.

Berlin, D. & White, A.L. (1995). Connecting school science and mathematics. Connecting Mathematics across the Curriculum: 1995 Yearbook of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. P.A. House and A.F. Coxford. Reston, VA. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 1995.

National Association of Secondary School Principals. (1996). Breaking Rules: Changing an American Institution. Reston, VA.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (1998). The use of technology in the learning and teaching of mathematics. Online. Available URL:  
<http://www.nctm.org/about/general.information/Position.Statement.13.htm>



**U.S. Department of Education**  
*Office of Educational Research and Improvement*  
 (OERI)  
*National Library of Education (NLE)*  
*Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)*



## Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

### I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

|  |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Title: <u>Raise Test Scores: Integrate Biology &amp; Calculus</u>  |                                  |
| Author(s): <u>Jeffrey D. Lukens, MA and Sheryl Feinstein, Ed.D</u> |                                  |
| Corporate Source: <u>Augustana College</u>                         | Publication Date: <u>9/28/00</u> |

### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

| The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents   | The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents  | The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to Level 2B documents  |
|---|---|---|
| <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>                                  | <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> | <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> |
| Level 1   | Level 2A  | Level 2B  |
| <p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>  | <p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>  | <p>↑</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>  |
| Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.  | Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only  | Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only   |
| <p>Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.<br/>         If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.</p> |   |   |

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

|   |  |                      |
|---|--|----------------------|
| Signature:<br>Sheryl Feinstein  | Printed Name/Position/Title:<br>Sheryl Feinstein / Asst Prof Augustana College |                      |
| Organization/Address:<br>Augustana College<br>2001 S. Summit Ave<br>Sioux Falls, SD 57197 | Telephone:<br>605-274-5211   | Fax:<br>605-274-4616 |
|   | E-mail Address:<br>sfeinste@inst.augie.edu                                     | Date:<br>9/28/00     |

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

|                        |
|------------------------|
| Publisher/Distributor: |
| Address:               |
| Price:                 |

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

|          |
|----------|
| Name:    |
| Address: |

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

|   |
|---|
| Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: |
|---|

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility  
4483-A Forbes Boulevard  
Lanham, Maryland 20706